NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS


TECHNICAL NOTE FEB 25 1947

No. 1159

TURBOSUPERCHARGER-ROTOR TEMPERATURES IN FLIGHT

By Edward R. Bartoo

Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio



Washington October 1946

> NACA LIBRARY BUILL APRONAUTICAL

LABORATORY Langier Field, Va.



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 1159

TURBOSUPERCHARGER-ROTOR TEMPERATURES

IN FLIGHT

By Edward R. Bartoo

SUMMARY

Temperatures of a turbosupercharger rotor were measured in flight for a variety of conditions by thermocouples, the leads of which were brought away from the turbine by means of rotating slip rings and stationary brushes.

A consistent and almost linear relation was shown between turbine temperature at the outer edge of the rim and the effective exhaust-gas temperature at the surfaces of the blades on three successive flights at cruising power. Similar, but not so well defined, variations were obtained at two other power conditions. During flights at numerous power conditions, turbine temperatures varied widely and no acceptable method of correlation could be determined.

It was estimated that the maximum temperature at the rim of the rotor at rated altitude would be approximately 1175° F for this particular installation.

INTRODUCTION

The high rotational speeds at which a turbosupercharger operates impose large stresses on the turbine, whereas the extreme temperatures encountered reduce the ability of the turbine to withstand those stresses. The most critical conditions exist along the blades because they are continually immersed in exhaust gases at temperatures higher than those at which present commercial materials can maintain the strength required in current applications. Sufficient cooling must be provided to keep the blade temperatures within safe limits. As trends toward greater speeds and higher exhaust temperatures progress, the problem of providing adequate cooling becomes more critical.

NACA TN No. 1159

An investigation was undertaken by the NACA to evaluate the effects of the various factors involved upon turbine temperatures. The proposed investigation included the measurement of turbine temperatures in flight under a variety of operating conditions, the determination of the effects of individual factors upon turbine temperatures by means of a series of ground tests in an altitude chamber, and an analysis and correlation of the data obtained during these two phases. The turbine temperatures measured in flight are reported herein.

For the flight program the Army Air Corps recommended an airplane on the basis of satisfactory turbosupercharger performance over an extended period of service. A means of measuring turbine temperatures was devised, bench-testod, and installed. The ensuing flights were made at the NACA Langley Field laboratory during 1942 but the information as originally released received very limited distribution.

Maintenance problems not associated with the experimental apparatus led to the termination of the flight investigation before completion of the program. Data at the rated altitude of the turbo-supercharger are therefore meager.

TEST INSTALLATION

Test equipment. - Turbine temperatures were measured on a commercial turoosupercharger installed in a single-engine pursuit airplane. The turbosupercharger was located on the under side of the fuselage directly beneath the engine; the rotor and a portion of the nozzle box extended beyond the cowling into the air stream.

The cooling cap originally furnished with the turbosupercharger was a conventional convection-type cap, which directed a stream of air against the rim of the turbine. It was necessary to redesign the cap to provide room for the turbine-temperature measuring apparatus. Passage area through the redesigned cap was adjusted to give cooling-air flows equal to those of the original cap with equal pressure drops across the two. Figure 1 shows the redesigned cap in position.

A bleeder was installed in the air duct leading from the turbosupercharger compressor outlet to the carburetor to make possible a variation in turbosupercharger load independent of engine conditions.

Instrumentation. - Chromel-alumel thermocouples were used to measure the temperatures at four points on the outer surface of the turbine disk. Three of these points were located on the rim of the

disk at $4\frac{23}{32}$, $4\frac{15}{32}$, and $4\frac{5}{32}$ -inch radii; the fourth point was at a radius of $2\frac{1}{3}$ inches.

The thermocouples were of 28-gage oxide-coated wires that were individually welded to the surface of the turbine at the hot junction. Each pair of leads was encased in 0.050-inch outside-diameter stainless-steel tubing into which a ceramic cement was forced under pressure to serve as an insulator. These tubes were clamped to the outer surface of the turbine.

3

Thermocouple leads were brought away from the turbine through rotating chromel and alumel slip rings and stationary brushes of the same materials. The slip rings had an outside diameter of $l\frac{1}{16}$ inches and the brushes were $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch-diameter buttons. The brushes were mounted on $2\frac{1}{2}$ -inch spring-steel arms and were designed to contact the sides rather than the rims of the rings. Contact was made only during the periods in which turbine temperatures were taken. Figure 2 shows the thermocouples and slip rings installed on the turbine. The brush assembly is also shown. Thermal electromotive forces from these turbine thermocouples were taken by a null method with a small potentiometer mounted in the cockpit of the airplane for this purpose.

Some idea of the magnitude and distribution of temperatures in the gases between the cooling cap and the turbine rotor was obtained from 12 chromel-alumel thermocouples installed in that space. Each pair of 28-gage thermocouple leads was encased in an 0.050-inch outside-diameter stainless-steel tube with a filler of ceramic cement. These thermocouple tubes were clamped to the outside surface of the cap and, at the desired locations (fig. 3), were allowed to project through drilled holes approximately one-eighth inch into the space between the cap and the turbine rotor.

The velocity head in the cooling-cap inlet was measured by two static taps and a total-head tube located in the circular inlet section $1\frac{3}{4}$ inches from the entrance. A sea-level calibration of weight flow against velocity head served as the basis from which weight flow at altitude was calculated.

Exhaust-gas temperatures were obtained 4 inches upstream of the nozzle-box inlet by means of a quadruple-shielded chromel-alumel thermocouple. A straight section $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter and 40 inches in length was incorporated in the bleeder duct to insure suitable flow conditions at a measuring plane. A static tap, a total-head tube insorted to one-third the duct diameter, and a thermocouple were used to obtain data from which bleeder air weight flow could be calculated. A butterfly valve controlled from the cockpit permitted regulation of the air flow in flight.

The temperature rise through the compressor was obtained from unshielded chromel-alumel thermocouples in the inlet and outlet ducts. This value was used to calculate the approximate power required by the compressor.

Electromotive forces from all of the thermocouples except those on the turbine disk were automatically recorded at least once a minute. In addition, automatic pressure recorders were used to obtain a continuous record of the following variables:

Indicated air speed
Velocity head in cooling-cap inlet
Manifold pressure
Velocity head in bleeder duct
Bleeder-duct static pressure

On all pressure and temperature records, a timing device marked 1-second intervals in order that an accurate time relation could be established. All automatic apparatus was electrically driven and controlled from a single switch in the cockpit. This switch was momentarily tripped each time a turbine temperature was taken and the resulting traces on the films in the recorders were used to establish the time relation between turbine temperature and other data.

Values for the following variables were read from indicating instruments in the cockpit before and after each run:

Engine speed
Altitude
Turbine speed
Free-air temperature
Nozzle-box pressure
Fuel consumption
Total pressure - compressor-inlet duct
Static pressure - compressor-outlet duct

TESTS

Test procedure. - Seventeen test flights were made. During the first nine flights, when the desired altitude was reached, the airplane was leveled off, a designated power condition was established, and 5 minutes was allowed for temperatures to approach equilibrium. During this period, such readings as were not automatically recorded were noted by the pilot. At the end of the period, the electromotive forces of the four turbine thermocouples were taken in rotation a number of times. Indicating instruments were read and recorded again at the end of the run. The automatic recorders were allowed to operate for 1 minute before and during the period in which turbine-temperature data were taken. As each turbine-temperature reading was made, the recorders were momentarily turned off; the resultant break in the film record was used to establish the time relation among the various data.

As military power could not be continuously maintained for more than 5 minutes, the time allowed for turbine temperatures to approach equilibrium was reduced to $2\frac{1}{2}$ minutes during runs at this power condition. As much turbine-temperature data as possible were obtained in the remaining time.

Because of the frequent wide variations in successive temperature readings at a given point on the turbine during the first nine flights, more frequent readings were obtained from the thermocouple at the greatest radius even though it meant getting little or no data from the other thermocouples. Accordingly, on flight 10 and thereafter data were taken on this basis.

The bleeder was installed in the duct between the compressor and the carburetor only for flight 15.

Test conditions. - Turbine temperatures were observed at an altitude of approximately 15,000 feet at various power conditions over the entire operating range of the engine. Runs were made at cruising, rated, and military powers and at intermediate power conditions. At cruising power where operating procedure permitted leaning out the fuel-air mixture, runs were made with various mixture-control settings from full rich to automatic lean; thus, a wide range of exhaust temperatures was obtained. On a single flight at rated power, three runs were made at mixture strengths covering as wide a range as feasible. Runs at three different mixture strengths were made at cruising power while a constant additional load was imposed on the turbosupercharger by bleeding air from the induction system between the turbosupercharger and the carburetor.

All data were obtained in level flight because it proved impossible to maintain a given set of conditions in climb while taking turbine-temperature data. Table I gives the conditions under which each run was made.

CALCULATIONS :

Cooling-air flow. - A sea-level calibration of weight flow against velocity head in the cooling-cap inlet was used as the basis for calculating cooling-air weight flows at altitude. For equal velocity heads, it follows that

$$\frac{W_{\text{ol}}}{W_{\text{co}}} = \sqrt{\frac{P_{1}T_{0}}{P_{0}T_{1}}}$$

mere

Wc air flow through cooling cap, (lb/sec)

P total pressure in cooling-cap inlet, (lb/sq in.)

T total temperature in cooling-cap inlet, OR

Subscripts 0 and 1 denote sea-level and altitude conditions, respectively.

Substituting the values prevailing during the sea-level calibrations for $\rm P_O$ and $\rm T_O$ yields

$$W_{cl} = 0.51 \ V_{co} \sqrt{\frac{P_l}{T_l}}$$

Power required by compressor. - The compressor power requirements were calculated from the measured temperature rise across the compressor and the charge-air weight flow as determined from a calibration by G. L. Sanwald at the Naval Air Material center (Philadelphia) in 1940 by the following equation:

$$hp = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{RW_{\Theta} \Delta T_{C}}{550}$$

where

γ ratio of specific heats

R gas constant for normal air, (ft-lb)/(lb mass) (OF)

We engine charge air, (lb/sec)

ΔT_c temperature rise through compressor, ^OF

Effective exhaust-gas temperature at blade surfaces. - In the calculation of the gas temperature at the blade surfaces, the nozzle-box temperature was taken as the value measured just ahead of the inlet, the temperature drop through the nozzles was taken as 85 percent of the adiabatic drop encountered in expanding from nozzle-box pressure to the pressure of the surrounding atmosphere, and a recovery coefficient of 85 percent was assumed at the blade surfaces. It then followed that

that
$$T_{e} = T_{n} - 0.85 T_{n} \left[1 - \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{n}}\right)^{\gamma} \right] + \frac{0.85 v^{2}}{2 Jgc_{p}}$$

where

Te effective gas temperature at blade surfaces, OR

Tn nozzle-box inlet temperature, OR

P_n nozzle-box pressure, (lb/sq in.)

v gas velocity relative to turbine blades, (ft/sec)

J mechanical equivalent of heat, (ft-lb)/Btu

g acceleration of gravity, (ft/sec2)

 c_p specific heat of exhaust gases, Btu/(1b) (OF)

The laboratory development work on the turbine-thermocouple apparatus indicated that the errors introduced into the temperature measurements by the sliding contacts between brushes and slip rings were within $\pm 25^{\circ}$ F at the rated turbine speed of 21,300 rpm.

After a change in the flight conditions affecting turbine temperature, it was not feasible to allow sufficient time for the

disk temperatures to reach equilibrium. Subsequent ground tests in an altitude chamber (reference 1) indicated that it would require at least 1 hour to reach equilibrium. During the ground tests, however, values within 10° F of equilibrium were reached at the inner edge of the rim $(4\frac{5}{32}$ -in. radius) and middle of the rim $(4\frac{15}{32}$ -in. radius) in approximately 30 and 10 minutes, respectively. Flight tests showed that 5 minutes were sufficient for the temperature at the outer edge of the rim to reach an apparently steady value. (See fig. 4.) These considerations would indicate that the 5-minute period used in flight was sufficient to allow the outer edge and the middle of the rim to reach temperatures near enough equilibrium to be representative of the conditions under which they were measured. Data from the thermocouple at the inner edge of the rim are less reliable and data at the $2\frac{1}{2}$ -inch radius are of little value.

The greater number of temperature readings taken at a given point on the turbine during each run of flights 10 to 16 assured more nearly representative average temperatures. These data are therefore more reliable than the data of other flights.

The exhaust-gas temperatures measured at the nozzle-box inlet were subject to several errors. Radiation and conduction of heat away from the tip of the thermocouple sheath resulted in a hot-junction temperature below that of the surrounding gases. According to the manufacturer's tests, the quadruple-shielded thermocouple should indicate temperatures from 0° to 20° F below actual gas temperatures. No attempt was made to check these values. Recording and reading film records introduced another possible error of approximately ±2 percent or ±30° F. These errors are naturally reflected in the calculated effective gas temperatures at the turbine-blade surfaces. In addition, the errors involved in determining the pressure drops across the nozzles add another ±10° F.

NACA TN No. 1159 9

The accuracies to which other values were determined are given in the following table:

Cooling-air flow, percent ±1	0
Engine speed, rpm ±3	0
Turbine speed, rpm	O
Altitude, feet	O
Manifold pressure, in. Hg ±0.	3
Nozzle-box pressure, in. Hg	
Bleeder-duct static pressure, in. Hg ±0.	1
Bleeder-duct velocity head, in. water ±0.	
Compressor-inlet pressure, in. Hg	
Compressor-outlet pressure, in. Hg ±0.	
Air temperatures, or ±	6

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of variations in exhaust-gas temperature upon turbine temperature at the outer edge of the rim (423-in. radius) is shown for five flights at an altitude of approximately 15,000 feet in figure 5. At cruising power flights 3, 10, and 12 were made under the same conditions with no air flow through the bleeder duct and show a consistent, almost linear, relation between these temperatures. Average turbine temperatures during these flights fell within ±25° F of a mean curve when plotted against exhaust-gas temperatures at the blade surfaces. When the same engine power was maintained and the load on the turbos percharger was increased approximately 50 percent (flight 15) by bleeding air from the high-pressure side of the compressor, the turbine temperatures varied with exhaust temperatures at the blade surfaces at nearly the same rate as at cruising power.

At higher engine powers, operating considerations made it impracticable to vary appreciably the fuel-air ratio and honce the exhaust-gas temperature. During flight 13 at 115-percent rated engine power, a variation of 60° F was as wide a change in exhaust-gas temperature as was feasible to obtain. In this interval the turbine temperature changed more rapidly with exhaust-gas temperature than at cruising power. Because of the narrow temperature range involved, however, this increased rate of change has little significance.

The power demand upon the turbosupercharger was increased during flight 15 by bleeding air from the compressor-outlet duct.

The turbine temperatures at the outer edge of the rim averaged 140° F above those observed at comparable exhaust-gas temperatures during flight 12 (fig. 5). Inasmuch as engine power conditions were the same on both flights, airspeed, and hence air flow through the cooling cap, were almost the same. Turbine speed during flight 15 was 8 percent higher; thus the effectiveness of the cooling air was increased due to its higher velocity relative to the turbine disk. Aside from the differences due to this increased effectiveness of cooling air, the differences in turbine temperatures were due to factors resulting from the increased turbine load; namely, the increase in mass flow of exhaust gases through the turbine and the higher relative velocity of these gases with respect to the blades.

During flight 13 at 115-percent rated engine power, the effect of the increased mass flow through the turbine was partly offset by the greater mass flow of cooling air resulting from the higher air speed. The turbosupercharger load was 25 percent above that of flight 12 and the cooling-air flow increased 15 percent. Increases of 45° to 75° F over the turbine temperatures of flight 12 were observed at comparable exhaust temperatures.

Turbine temperatures at the edge of the rim $(4\frac{23}{52}$ -in. radius) for a wide variety of conditions have been plotted against the effective exhaust-gas temperatures at the blade surfaces in figure 6. Table I presents the conditions for each run. The rated-power and the cruising-power runs previously discussed have been replotted in figure 6 for comparison.

At an altitude of approximately 25,000 feet, three successful runs were made, which gave turbine temperatures of 1074°, 1069°, and 1059° F at the outer edge of the rim for rated, cruising, and an intermediate power, respectively. (See fig. 4(d), 4(e), and 4(f) and table I, flight 16.) Temperatures at the middle of the rim were obtained at that altitude on flights 14 and 16. (See table I.)

Turbine temperatures were obtained for altitudes of approximately 10,000 and 20,000 feet at approximately rated power during flights 1 and 2. (See table I.)

The temperature distribution in the gases between the cooling cap and the outer surface of the turbine is shown for four sets of conditions in figure 7. The average temperature in this region is shown in figure 8 for all flights after flight 6.

Exhaustive attempts have been made to correlate the effects of the various factors upon turbine temperatures but the data are inadequate. Corrections based upon the altitude-chamber tests of reference 1 were ineffective.

The wide temperature variations observed during flight 5 (fig. 6) may have been due to excessive temperatures of the gases between the cooling cap and the outer surface of the turbine, possibly as a result of afterburning. Because this extreme temperature variation did not occur again, no conclusions as to its cause can be drawn. A marked resemblance exists between the plot of turbine temperature against exhaust-gas temperature in figure 5 and the plot of gas temperature between the cooling cap and the rotor against exhaust-gas temperature curves in figure 8 insofar as the normal cruising-power flights are concerned. The differences in rotor temperatures during flights 10 and 12 and the differences between average gas temperatures between the cooling cap and the rotor are of the same order of magnitude. This similarity may offer an explanation of the differences in turbine temperatures during these two flights. The variations observed in the cooling-air flow and the power required by the compressor do not account for these differences.

The maximum turbine temperatures occurring in normal operation would be expected at rated altitude (25,000 ft) under cruising conditions with the leanest mixture permissible. No turbine-temperature data were obtained during the single run attempted under these conditions. A turbine temperature of 1069° F was obtained, however, during a rich-mixture run at cruising power at an altitude of 25,000 feet. If the variation of turbine temperature with exhaust-gas temperature is of the same order as observed at an altitude of 15,000 feet, the turbine temperature at $4\frac{23}{32}$ -inch radius under lean-mixture cruising conditions at 25,000 feet would be approximately 1175° F.

Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Cleveland, Ohio, July 22, 1946.

REFERENCE

1. Hartwig, Frederick J., Jr.: Comparative Effectiveness of a Convection-Type and a Radiation-Type Cooling Cap on a Turbo-supercharger. NACA TN No. 1082, 1946.

TABLE I - FLIGHT CONDITIONS

Plight	Alti- tude (ft)	Engine spead (rps)	Rumi- fold pros- sure (in. Hg)	fur- bine tem- pera- ture at	Tur- bine tem- pera- ture at	Tur- bine ton- pera- ture at	Tur- bine tom- para- turo at	Exhaust tempera- ture at nozzle- box injet	Exhaust tempera- ture leaving nessles (°F)	Er- feo- tive ex- haust tem-	Air- speed (mph)	Air flow through tooling cap (lb/sec)	Free air tem- pera- ture (Op)	Worzle- box pres- sure (in. Hg)	Es- tim- ated con- pres- ser	Turbine speed (rpm)	Super- shar- ger- in- let	Super- char- ger- out- let pres-	Tem- pera- ture rise through compres-	Aver- age gas tem- pera- ture
				a23 32 inch redius (°F)	132 inch radius (°P)	432 inch radius (OP)	inch redius (OF)	(¶°)		pera- tura at blade sur- faces (OF)	}				power (hp)		sure total (in. Kg)	sure static (in. Hg)	*8r (⁸ P)	be- tween cap and rotor (°F)
-3	9,200 9,600 14,500	2580	31.0		986 920	785 835		1635 1650	1544	1587 1550	222 238	0.0715	21 8	25.2 25.5		9,600	22.6 19.0	26.0 25,5		
	19,800	2530 2500	30.3	926	867	B00	40b	1640	1425	1482		0.0860	-10		58.5	17,000	15.0		115	
*3	14,800 14,400 14,800 14,800	2200 2386	24.3 27.7	910 931	653 632	753 751		1473 1535	1392 1414	1418 1447	253 253	0.0612	37 37	20.5 92.5	14.5 25.6	9,500 12,500	18.5 18.4	22.0 25.2	44 61	
	14,600 14,800	2520 2200	25.6	899 973	806	784 813	481	1505	1467	1506 1506	269 224	0.0695	57 15	25.0 21.2	34.2 20.2	13,750 ² 750 11,500	18.6	26.0 23.5	71 55.5	
, ,	14,700	2580	51.8	994	853	794	511	1624	1481	1582	251.5	.0722	15	24.5	45.0	15,000	18.5	28.0	95.5	
 _	14,700	2900 2250	23.6	977	685	888 893	523 130	1652	1482	1657	270 220	.0786 0.0629	16	80.0	15.7	17,000 9,500	18.5	31.0 21.5	47	
	14,600 14,600	2440 2415	26.0 26.9	1049 1101	901 877	925 946	447 433	1885 1605	1476 1478	1505 1511	937 247	.0571 .0705	10 10	21.5 23.0	21.0 30.5	11,000 13,500 * 500	18.0 18.2	23.2 95.8	57.5 70	
 -	14,700 14,600	2500	81.6	1041	898 940	920	435 520	1640 1540	1477	1520 1490	258 229	.0733 0.0829	10 18	24.5 20.5	43.3 12.2	14,750±250 8,900	18.4	28.0 19.5	87 35	
_ 1	14,600 14,600	9430 2570	27.9		936		511 562	1608	1490 1505	1527	241 253	.0701	16 16		24.9	10,600 11,600	18.0	22.5	86	
7-	14,600	2180 P	28.0	917	840	800	121	1429	1531	1357	236	0.0690	30	21.5	21.6	11,400	18.0 17.8	24.5 23.5	71	408
	14,600	2490 2600	28.6 32.0	920	949 962	727 958	466 472	1498 1550	1364 1394		253 262	.0762 .0798	30 30		34.2	13,300	18.0	25.3 27.0	74 89	407 425
8	14,400	2275	26.4	995	894		528	1855	1542	1672	239	0.0700	37	21.8	29,1	12,200	17.9	24.0	73	450
	14,600	8275 2278	26.9 27.1	950 1085	871 980		494	1647 1768	1531 1643		243 242	.0709	37 37		51.9 51.0	12,400	17.7 18.0	24.5	80 78	447 508
-9-	14,600	2400	26.2	945			100	1610	1501			0.0719	36	21.7	23.7	12,000	17.7	23.5	60	460
	14,600	9600 2900	30.5 37.6	950 930	<u> </u>			1670 1745	1518 1622		260 263	.0783	30 30	25.8 28.0	44.9 77.8	14,000 17,500	18.0	24.3 31.1	89 124	485 486
10	14,600	2280	26.6	872	740	736		3470	1359	1388	225	0.0558	37		28.0	12,400	17.5	24.2	70	382
1	14,600	2280 2280	96.9 97.1	950 1055	850	837		1625 1733	1508 1514		228 228	.0656	37 36	22.1	27.3	12,300	18.0	24.3	70	445
11	14,600	2280	28.7	B40				1455	1345	1373	239	0.0688	36 36	22.2	28.0	12,450 12,600	17.0	24.4	73	345
12	14,600	2250	24.6	890	659			1464	1356		240 237	0,0585	36	22.5 22.0	23,8	12,800		24.2	62	415
,	14,600	2280	26.9	955	727			1578	1468	1495	234.5	.0685	36		25.4			24.6	66	457
13	14,600	2580 2580	27.1 33.3	1005	792			1702	1565		237 2€6	.0685 0.0784	36 37	25.2	26.1 56.4	12,400 16,000	17.6	26.5	107	501 110
	14,600	2580 2580	33.1 33.4	965 1060				1602 1662	1429 1482	1472	264 270	.0784	37 37		57.4 53.2	16,000	17.8	29.1	106 112	431 437
14	25,100	3560	26.1		900			1474	1236	1384	23A	.0787 0.0808	-6	21	38.6	19,400	10.5	24.0	148	454
15	24,900	2320 2280	26.7	1030	1005			1730 1440	1472	1564 1341	254 230.5	,0508 0,0858	40	£1.E	10.3	19,300		23.4	148	402 402
"	14,600	2280	26.5	1160				1680	1530	1575 [234	.0672	42	24.2	48.4			23.9	79	517
-16	14,600	55500	26.5	1055				1570	1423	1468	232	.0565	43	24.2	36.7	13,350	17.4	25.8	75	446
~	24,500	2600	31.0	1074	951			1635	1337		277	0.0610	2	23.8	80.3	20,000		26.5	150	527
	24,500	2500 2280	28.0 27.0	1059	940			1647 1647	1354 1396		267 270	.0575	2 2	23.8 21.2	57.2	19,000		23.4 24.3	140 134	534 521
77	21.001	2500	31.					1880	1375		20	0.0185	===		***	10,200	16.40	24.0		<u> </u>

aAltitude varied within limits shown.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

bThe values shown for flight 17 were obtained during climb at an altitude of approximately 24,000 feet.



Figure 1. - Turbosupercharger with cooling cap in position ready for flight.




Figure 2. - Turbine thermocouples, slip rings, and brush assembly.




Figure 3. - Location of thermocouples between cooling cap and turbine rotor.




Figure 4. - Typical flight data. (See table I for other flight variables.)




Figure 4. - Continued. Typical flight data. (See table I for other flight variables.)




Figure 4. - Concluded. Typical flight data. (See table I for other flight variables.)

.

Figure 5. - Effect of varying exhaust-gas temperature on turbine temperatures at 4 32 inch radius at aititude of approximately 15,000 feet.




Figure 6. - Turbine temperatures at $4\frac{23}{32}$ -inch radius, various power conditions, and altitude of approximately 15,000 feet. (See table I for other flight variables.)

Z




Figure 7. - Temperature distribution in the gases between cooling cap and turbine rotor.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS




Figure 8. - Average gas temperature between cooling cap and turbine rotor.

٤